

**PATENT****REMARKS**

In the specification, the paragraphs [0001] and [0036] have been amended to correct minor editorial problems.

Claims 1-9 are pending in the present application.

In the Office Action mailed June 1, 2005, Examiner provisionally rejected claims 1-9 under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,728,300.

**Obviousness-Type Double Patenting Rejection**

Claims 1-9 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting over claims 1-9 of U.S. Patent No. 6,728,300.

Applicants concurrently file a terminal disclaimer with traversal in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) to overcome the rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground because the conflicting patent is commonly owned with this application. Applicants are filing a terminal disclaimer without prejudice solely to expedite prosecution and allowance of the present application for patent.

Attorney Docket No.: 000120C1  
Customer No.: 23696

**BEST AVAILABLE COPY**

## PATENT

## REQUEST FOR ALLOWANCE

In view of the foregoing, Applicants submits that all pending claims in the application are patentable. Accordingly, reconsideration and allowance of this application are earnestly solicited. Should any issues remain unresolved, the Examiner is encouraged to telephone the undersigned at the number provided below.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 24, 2005

By: 

George J. Oehling, Reg. No.40,471  
(858) 658-1761

QUALCOMM Incorporated  
5775 Morehouse Drive  
San Diego, California 92121  
Telephone: (858) 658-5787  
Facsimile: (858) 658-2502

Attorney Docket No.: 000120C1  
Customer No.: 23696

BEST AVAILABLE COPY